ARGUMENTS/REMARKS

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 3-4, and 7-11 for indefiniteness as supposedly omitting cooperative relationships between the recited power supply (source) and the sensing unit and light-emitting device. The Applicant has amended independent claim 1 to clarify the cooperation between said elements, consistent with the disclosure in the specification. Said amendment to independent claim 1 necessarily and similarly clarifies this issue for all claims that are ultimately dependent on claim 1; that is, claims 3-4 and 7-11.

Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests that the 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2 rejections for claims 1, 3-4, and 7-11 be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 3-4, and 6-7 as supposedly being obvious in view of various combinations of prior art references. However, these claim rejections are moot, as the Applicant has amended the claims (in particular independent claim 1) pursuant to an invitation to do so by the Examiner that renders the claims allowable according to the Examiner in the Examiner's Office Action dated February 7, 2008 (Page 5, Item 21). Regrettably, the Applicant made an inadvertent error when combining claims 1 and 2 into a new claim 1 in the last response, which necessitated the current Office Action. The Examiner has made it clear that the amended claim 1 cannot be allowed because it does not include all of the limitations of both claim 1 and the original claim 2. The newly amended claim 1 faithfully

The Applicant respectfully submits the amended claims, including a corrected independent claim 1, and respectfully requests that the Examiner accept them. Because the newly amended independent claim 1 is now in an allowable state by properly combining the limitations of both claim 1 and claim 2, all of the claims dependent on claim 1 should be allowable. Therefore, the Applicant respectfully requests that the present 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejections of claims 1, 3-4, and 6-7 be withdrawn.

Conclusion

Because of the arguments and amendments contained herein, the Applicant respectfully requests that all claim rejections be withdrawn and that a Notice of Allowance be issued.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Terrence M. Wyles

USPTO Reg. No. 61,035